Docket No. AUG 2 5 2003 TRANSMITTAL LETTER (General - Patent Pending) 112701-191 In Re Application Of: Aguilar et al. Group Art Unit Serial No. Filing Date Examiner 09/682,177 July 31, 2001 R. Madsen RECEI 2003 AUG 2 1700 Title: MULTI-COMPARTMENT PET FOOD CONTAINER TO THE COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS: Transmitted herewith is: Response to Restriction Requirement (2 pages); and Return Receipt Postcard in the above identified application. No additional fee is required. A check in the amount of is attached. 02-1818 The Director is hereby authorized to charge and credit Deposit Account No. as described below. Charge the amount of  $\boxtimes$ Credit any overpayment. Charge any additional fee required.  $\boxtimes$ 

Signature

Dated: August 21, 2003

Robert M. Barrett, Esq. (30,142) ATTORNEYS FOR APPLICANTS Bell, Boyd & Lloyd LLC P.O. Box 1135

Chicago, Illinois 60690-1135

I certify that this document and fee is being deposited on 8/21/7003 with the U.S. Postal Service as first class/nail under 3/ C.F.R. 1.8 and is addressed to the Commissioner for Palents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence

Robert J. Buccieri

Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED TO 1700

Applicant(s): Aguilar et al.

Appl. No.: Conf. No.: 09/682,177

Filed:

9016

Title:

July 31, 2001 MULTI-COMPARTMENT PET FOOD CONTAINER

Art Unit:

1761

Examiner:

R. Madsen

Docket No.:

112701-191

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Sir:

Please enter the following response in the above-identified patent application.

This response is submitted in response to the Office Action dated July 30, 2003. The Office Action requires Applicants to restrict the invention to one of five groups of invention: Group I (Claims 1-14 and 25-30); Group II (Claims 15-19); Group III (Claims 20-22); Group IV (Claims 23-24); and Group V (Claims 31-34). Unfortunately, the restriction requirement incorrectly characterizes the claims. Therefore, Applicants cannot elect from the current grouping of claims.

In the spirit of cooperation, Applicants elect to prosecute Claims 1-8, 10-13, 15-19, 25-26, and 28-29. All of these claims should have been identified as being in Group II. To the extent the Patent Office agrees with Applicants that these claims should have been identified in Group II, Applicants elect Group II. However, to the extent the Patent Office does not believe these claims are properly classified in Group II, as defined by the Patent Office's grouping of the claims, Applicants do not make this election and respectfully request that the Patent Office issue a new Office Action that properly characterizes the claims.

In this regard, the Patent Office states "Claims 1-14, 25-30, drawn to a pet food container comprising a compartmented tray with wet and dry foods and a foldable surface to combine compartments, classified in class 426, subclass 112." The Patent Office then states with respect to Group II "Claims 15-19, drawn to a compartmented pet food tray containing a pet food product with a surface for receiving another pet food product, classified in class 426, subclass 120."

The Patent Office has incorrectly classified the claims. Claims 1-8, 10-13, 25-26, and 28-29 do not require "a foldable surface to combine compartments." Indeed, the word "folded" only appears in Claims 9, 14, 27, and 30. The remaining claims fall within the scope of Group II "a compartmented pet food containing a pet food product with a surface for receiving another pet food product." For example, for the Patent Office's convenience, reproduced below is Claim 1:

1. A pet food container including pet food comprising:

a tray;

a dry food compartment defined by the tray and including a unit of dry pet food;

a wet food compartment defined by the tray and adapted to receive unpackaged wet pet food; and

a quantity of wet pet food in the wet pet food compartment.

This claim, as well as Claims 2-8, 9-13, 25-26, and 28-29 should have been classified in Group II.

Accordingly, to the extent the Patent Office agrees with Applicants, Applicants elect to prosecute the invention of Group II. However, Applicants believe Claims 1-8, 10-13, 25-26 and 28-29 as well as Claims 15-19 read thereon.

Respectfully submitted,

BELL, BOYD & LLOYD LLC

BY

Robert M. Barrett Reg. No. 30,142 P.O. Box 1135

Chicago, Illinois 60690-1135

Phone: (312) 807-4204

Dated: August 21, 2003